

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/783,304	02/20/2004	Norman Herron	UC0412USNA	7825
23906 7590 06/12/2007 E I DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY LEGAL PATENT RECORDS CENTER BARLEY MILL PLAZA 25/1128			EXAMINER	
			NOLAN, JASON MICHAEL	
	CASTER PIKE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WILMINGTO	N, DE 19805		1626	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/12/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/783,304	HERRON ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jason M. Nolan, Ph.D.	1626
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 A</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under B	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-25 and 38 is/are pending in the approach 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrays 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 8-16 and 24 is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,2,6,7,17-20,23,25 and 38 is/are rejection and 22 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)
2) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-25 & 38 are pending; of which, Claims 1, 2, 10 & 18 are currently amended and Claim 38 is new.

Response to Amendment

Applicants' amendments to **Claims 1, 2, 10 & 18** have been considered and are entered. With respect to **Claim 1**: **R**¹ is understood to be an aryl or heteroaryl optionally substituted with a crosslinkable group. Applicant's comments, 04/03/2007, have clarified the record.

Response to Arguments

Applicants' argument, see Amendment – After Non-Final Rejection, filed 04/03/2007, with respect to the prior art anticipation by Hirose *et al.* (JP 2004030942 A2, published 1/29/2004) have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant is comparing the prior art compounds RN 651048-27-0 and RN 651048-28-1 with the embodiments from the specification on page 5. Examiner cannot read limitations from the specification into the claims. Further, Applicant states that the Office Action has not established that the styryl and ethynylbenzene substituents in the Hirose *et al.* compounds are polymerizable (crosslinkable) in that reference. Examiner points out that the compounds taught by Hirose *et al.* meet all of the limitations of the instant claims and it is not necessary for the prior art reference to have the same utility as the invention of the instant application. One of skill in the art would recognize that

Art Unit: 1626

essentially "any polymerizable group can function as a crosslinkable group," (see page 2, II. 32-38 of the instant specification), and that the styryl and ethynylbenzene substituents would both be considered a polymerizable group.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1, 2, 6, 7, 17-20, 23 & 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Hirose *et al.* (JP 2004030942 A2, published 1/29/2004). Taught in the Japanese Patent by Hirose *et al.* are the compounds RN 651048-27-0 and RN 651048-28-1; in which R¹ contains a crosslinkable group and E is C(R⁵R⁶), (see Office Action 12/06/2006).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 38 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Said claim recites the language "comprising" and the scope of this term is unclear. The transitional term "comprising", which is synonymous with "including," "containing," or "characterized by," is inclusive or openended and does not exclude additional, unrecited elements or method steps. See: e.g.,

Art Unit: 1626

Genentech, Inc. v. Chiron Corp., 112 F.3d 495, 501, 42 USPQ2d 1608, 1613 (Fed. Cir. 1997) ("Comprising" is a term of art used in claim language which means that the named elements are essential, but other elements may be added and still form a construct within the scope of the claim.); Moleculon Research Corp. v. CBS, Inc., 793 F.2d 1261, 229 USPQ 805 (Fed. Cir. 1986); In re Baxter, 656 F.2d 679, 686, 210 USPQ 795, 803 (CCPA 1981); Ex parte Davis, 80 USPQ 448, 450 (Bd. App. 1948) ("comprising" leaves "the claim open for the inclusion of unspecified ingredients even in major amounts"). Examiner suggests deleting the word "comprising" and inserting the words - - consisting of - -.

Page 4

Claim Objections

Claims 3-5 & 21 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base Claim

1, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a). Applicant's amendment (with respect to Claim 38) necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action.

Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Art Unit: 1626

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Telephone Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Jason M. Nolan, Ph.D.** whose telephone number is **(571) 272-4356** and electronic mail is **Jason.Nolan@uspto.gov**. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri (9:00 - 5:30PM). If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Joseph McKane** can be reached on **(571) 272-0699**. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is **571-273-8300**. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jason M. Nolan, Ph.D.

Examiner Art Unit 1626 KAMAL A. SAEED, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER

Joseph K. McKane

Supervisory Patent Examiner

& Sxe

Art Unit 1626

Date: June 8, 2007